

ADM

26.10.23

Submit Dated 19-10-23



**HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH BENCH AT
INDORE DESIGNATION OF SENIOR ADVOCATE
RULES, 2018**

PROFORMA OF PARTICULARS

UNDER RULE 13



- (1) Name MOEED ABBASALI BOHRA
- (2) Qualification B.Com, M.Com, M.A., LLB (Hons.)
- (3) Date of Birth 29/07/1953
- (4) Permanent address 58, ADVOCATES CHAMBER
STATE BAR COUNCIL BUILDING
HIGH COURT CAMPUS INDORE
452001
- (5) Address to which communications are to be sent - SAME
- (6) Name of Bar council and Date of enrollment as an advocate
STATE BAR COUNCIL OF MP, 14-01-1982
- (7) Number in the roll of advocates maintained by the state bar
council. MP/68/1982
- (8) Whether he/she is/was a member of any association of
lawyers? If so the details
1. Indore Bar Association Indore
 2. High Court Bar Association Indore
- (9) Number of years, name of place and court(s) where practiced
1. HIGH COURT INDORE
 2. DISTRICT COURT INDORE
 3. FAMILY COURT INDORE
 4. CONSUMER FORUM INDORE
 5. DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER INDORE

HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
BENCH AT INDORE

:: MEMO ::

No.Admin./06-A/ 2681

Indore, dated 02/11/2023


To,

The Registrar (Administration)/Secretary of the
Permanent Committee for Designation of Senior Advocates,
High Court of Madhya Pradesh,
Jabalpur M.P.

Subject: Application dated 26-10-2023 of Mr. Moeed Abbasali Bohra, Advocate regarding his designation as Senior Advocate.

On the subject cited above and as directed by Hon'ble the Administrative Judge, I am to forward herewith application dated 26-10-2023 in prescribed form (along-with enclosures) submitted by Mr. Moeed Abbasali Bohra, Advocate regarding his designation as Senior Advocate for being placed before the 'Permanent Committee for designation of Senior Advocate', for consideration.

Encl: As above.


(Ajay Prakash Mishr)
Principal Registrar

6. BOARD OF REVENUE BENCH INDORE

SINCE 1982 FOR A PERIOD OF OVER 40 YEARS

(10) Specialization in any field of law If so, details

Constitutional Law, Civil Law, Criminal Law, Service, Labour Law, Arbitration Law, Corporate Law, Family Law, Waqf Law, Human Rights, Public Interest Litigation, International Law, Law relating to Women, Inter-state Water Disputes etc.

(11) Whether a junior to any lawyer(s) at present? If so, the details

NO

(12) Whether any junior lawyer is practising with him? If so, names of such lawyers and the period

Mr. M.R. Sheikh 2003 till 2012

Mr. Ashish Choubey 2008 till 2010

Mr. Anurag Vyas 2007 till 2010

Mr. R.C. Soni 2000 till 2010

Mr. G.S. Solanki 1995 – 1998

Mr. K.K. Agarwal 2000-2003

Mr. S.A. Hameed 2001 -2009

Mr. Pankaj Khandelwal 2007 till present

Mr. Tanveer Patel 2003 till today

Mr. R.S. Soni 2009 till 2008

Mr. Hitesh Porwal 2009 till 2013

Mr. Milind R. Phadke 2013 till 2015

Mr. Murtuza Bohra 2012 till today

Ms. Vineeta Dwivedi 2013 till 2018

Ms. Shalini Kurmi 2015 till today

Mr. Tanveer Patel 2003 till today

(13) Whether he/she is an assessee under the Income Tax Act in respect of professional income? If so, details of income assessed for the last three years accompanied by a copy of the Permanent Account Number

PAN No. AHBPP2207C (PREV. 3 ITR ATTACHED)

(14) Whether he/she is/was in the panel of the State or Central Government or whether holds any office under the State or Central Government?

STANDING COUNSEL, M.P. STATE WAQF BOARD AT BHOPAL SINCE 1989

(15) (a) Reference to any important matter in which appearedyes referred below in reported judgments

(b) Reported judgments in which the concerned Advocate(s) had appeared in last five years.

2016(4)MPLJ 305 Lakhan Singh

2017(1)MPLJ 640 DR Maheshwar

2017(1)MPLJ 713 Govind Saraf

2017(1)MPLJ 134 Ramaji v. Shantibai

2017 (3) MPLJ 439 Ashish v. State

2018 (1) MPLJ 54 Yunus v. MPPKVCL

2018 (1) MPLJ 212 Trivedi Bus v. Salma BEE

2019 (1) MPLJ 343 Abhishek Saklecha v. Laxman

2019 (1) MPLJ 420 Kadam Singh v.CEO

2019 (3) MPLJ 292 Kanakmal v. Nighatjahan

2019 (3) MPLJ 182 Amiruddin v. State

(16) Whether he/she has written any book on law or made any contribution to a law publication or journal? If so, the details. No.

(17) Whether he/she attended or participated in any seminar/conference relating to law ? No

(18) Whether he/she is/was connected with any faculty of law ?
No.

(19) Whether any application for designation as senior advocate had been made in the past to the High Court of Madhya Pradesh or any other Court? If so, when and with what result ? No

(20) Whether ordinarily practicing within the jurisdiction of the High court of Madhya Pradesh ? Yes. In Indore.

(21) Whether he/she has ever been personally involved in any civil or criminal litigation or contempt proceedings or any disciplinary proceedings against him by the Bar Council. If so the details. No.

(22) Details of participation in pro-bono work ?

Panel Advocate for legal aid registered with legal services authority. Since 1989.

(22a) Details of five best synopses filed by the advocate concerned.

Attached.

(23) Other information/particulars, if any, including legal services and as Legal aid counsel.

Panel Advocate for legal aid registered with legal services authority. Since 1989.

Date : ²⁶
~~19~~ 10-23
[Handwritten initials]

[Handwritten signature]
Signature of the Advocate
Mobile No. 79877-40429

E-mail ID murtuza.law@gmail.com, mabohraadv@gmail.com

आयकर विभाग
INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT



भारत सरकार
GOVT. OF INDIA

MOEED BOHRA


ABBAS ALI BOHRA

29/07/1953

Permanent Account Number

AHPPB2207C

Signature



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH :

BENCH AT INDORE

PETITIONER: S.N. Gupta

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS: 1. The State of M.P. & Ors.

SYNOPSIS


Relief Sought :-

1. Quashment / modification of the clause 1.1 of the impugned order / notification of the state government dated 03-08-09 part 1.
2. To consolidate (revise) the pension of the petitioner/ pre 2006 retirees subject to condition that the amount of pension in no manner shall be less than minimum of 50% of (basic minimum pay in the corresponding pay scale plus grade pay) w.e.f. 01-01-06.
3. Grant arrears of the revised pension from 01-01-06 with interest @ 12% p.a.

	Date	Pg	An x.	Facts / Pleadings
1.	1986	34 (WP)	P-5	The petitioner took voluntary retirement after completion of 24 years of service on post College Professor Govt. College from Higher Education Department, M.P.
2.	01.09.08	26 (WP)	P-3	The Central Government notification for all pre-2006 pensioners with effect from 01-01-06 "4.2 The fixation of pension will be subject to the provision that the revised pension, in no case, shall be lower than fifty percent of minimum of the pay in the pay band plus the grade pay corresponding to the pre-revised pay scale from which the pensioner had retired."
3.	10-09-08	24 (WP)	P-2	Resolution undertaken by the State Govt. whereby it was decided / committed based on the notification of the central govt. would be implemented for the state employees and also for the pensioners. Further, it was decided / committed by the state government that the arrears from 01-01-06 till 31-08-08 will be payable to employees and the pensioners.
4.	NA	14	P-10	Para 4.06.25, AK Agarwal Committee Recommendation

		(Rej.)		for implementation of the 6th CPC for the state employees and pensioner- "the pension of pensioners who retired before 01.01.2006 in no case, shall be lower than fifty percent of minimum of the pay in the pay band plus the grade pay corresponding to the pre-revised pay scale from which the pensioner had retired."
5	03-08-09 Part 1	21 (WP)	P-1	(Impugned Order) The pension of the pensioners who retired before 01.01.06 was consolidated/revised at the rate of 2.26 times of basic pension as on 31.08.08 as consolidated amount and payable from 01-09-08.(no arrears was payable)
6.	03-08-09 Part 2	1 (LoD)	NA	(submitted with LoD later on dt. 24-07-18) The pensioners who retired on or after 01.01.06 was revised at the rate of 50% of the basic pay (corresponding pay in the pay band + Grade Pay)
7.	16-04-10	37 Para 5.13 (WP)	P-7	Revised Pay Scale for Higher Education department – 37,400/- - Rs. 67,000/- plus Rs.10,000/- (Grade Pay) – The fixation which ought to be is 50% of (37,400/- + 10,000/-) = Rs.23,700/-+DA (proportionate Rs.16,877/- plus DA) whereas as per impugned order the fixation presently is (5337/- x 2.26= Rs.12,062/-) + DA (Para 5.13 of WP)
8.	29-10-12	8 (Rep)	R-1	VD Saxena v. State of M.P., W.P. 17303/12 – relied by the state government – but the same is not identical- no challenge is made to impugned govt. notification dated 03-08-09 as has been done in the present case - it is <i>per incuriam</i> as it does not follow the judgment passed in DS Nakara (1986)
9.	04-04-17			PV Sreenivasiah-2017(3)MPLJ 401 – wrong interpreted law laid down DS Nakara (1986) – hence <i>per incuriam</i> .
10.	18-12-19			WP 18811/2013 MP Pradhyapik Sangh, at Jabalpur – allowed writ petition.
11.	30-01-20			WP-7429/15 – allowed in light of the judgment passed in WP 18811/13 (Jabalpur).
12.	14-08-20			Present WP allowed to decide claim in light of the judgment passed in W.P. 7429/2015 V.K. Nagar v. State of M.P.
13.	25-04-22			R.P. No. 261/21- SN Gupta-Review allowed – direction to rehear on available grounds including judgment passed in PV Sreenivasiah 2017(3) MPLJ 401
14.	2022			Restored for fresh hearing

Indore, Dated

 Submitted By
Counsel for the Petitioner

M.A. BOHRA ADV

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH :**BENCH AT INDORE****APPELLANT:** Sheikh Javed**VERSUS****RESPONDENT:** Wahida & Ors.**SYNOPSIS BY APPELLANT**

Date	Ex.	Events
11-12-74	D-9	Sale Deed – Suit Property – Seller Banarsi Bai & Ors in favor Purchaser – Late Ramzan Khan (husband of defendant)
31-10-01	D-10 to 16	Loan obtained - Rs.1,60,000/- -obtained from Jila Sahakari Kendriya Bank - Khargone - secured with mortgage upon the suit property.
2005		Part of suit property was given on rent to the aforesaid bank
08-01-05	P-1	<u>'Agreement for Sale'</u> executed by the predecessor in title of the defendants Late Ramzan Khan in favor of the plaintiff; <u>Suit Property-</u> House Property, Two Storey, plot size 28x39, total 1092 sq. ft. situated at Mohalla Balwantganj, Tehsil and District Khargone, East-Common Passage, West – Passage, Babu's House, North Road, South – Road. <u>Total Consideration</u> – Rs.5,50,000/-, <u>Advance paid-</u> Rs.4,50,000/-. Possession also obtained, bank was tenant in the premises.
08-04-05		Ramzan Khan died.
10-05-05		Balance due till this date

19-05-06	P-3	Legal Notice to receive balance Rs. 1,00,000/- and execute sale deed.
		Not served due to absence of defendant at residence
06-03-07	P-5	Legal notice re issued
14-03-07	P-7	Reply given by the defendants – not having copy of the agreement
05-11-07	P-8	Copy of the AoS served upon the advocate of the defendants by the plaintiff
12-01-09	D-4	Rent agreement renewed by the defendant Wahida
31-03-10	D-2	Property Tax deposit in name of DW-1 Wahida
		SUIT PROCEEDINGS
08-01-08		Civil Suit filed
18-09-08		WS – Defendant No.1-denied entire transaction – title and capacity of Ramzan Khan – property mortgaged against loan – before the agreement – therefore no specific performance can be granted.
		Separate WS – submitted by Defendant No.2.
		Issue No.1 to 4 decided in favor of the appellant, Issue No..5 to 6 decided against the appellant -
29-11-10		Judgment and Decree passed – partly allowing the suit – rejecting relief for specific performance – allowing relief for refund of the amount paid with interes

Indore, Dated



Submitted By

Counsel for the Appellant
M.A. BOHRA ADV

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH :**BENCH AT INDORE****APPELLANT:** Kaluram**VERSUS****RESPONDENT:** Jakir**SYNOPSIS & WRITTEN ARGUMENTS**

The respondents / plaintiff submits this synopsis as hereunder :-

Date	Events
17-12-12	The respondent/plaintiff filed civil suit for respect to suit premises which house situated at village Kumhari, Manshapuran Road, 24 Battalion, Tehsil Jaora, District Ratlam.
	The appellant/defendant filed counter claim and prayed for decree of declaration of title and injunction on ground of adverse possession.
21-12-17	Trial Court dismissed the suit and counter claim
08-02-19	The Appellate Court allowed the appeal of the respondent/plaintiff and granted decree of eviction against the appellant/defendant. No appeal was preferred by the appellant/defendant against the rejection of the counter claim.
Date	Events
15-11-78 (Ex. P-4)	Land comprising Suit Property, viz. Survey No.83/2 Village Kumhari, Manshapuram road, 24 th Battalion, Tehsil Jaora, District Ratlam was purchased vide registered sale deed.
04-05-12 (Ex-D-1)	Notice for eviction issued by the plaintiff to defendant
24-09-12 (Ex.P-2)	Notice for termination of tenancy after 2 months from 27-11-12 and eviction issued by the plaintiff on ground of defendant was given suit premises for the supervision and cultivation of his land on which suit property is situated. But due to old age the defendant was unable to do the job therefore new person was appointed for the job and the suit premises is required for his accommodation. Further, the defendant has built new house nearby and he can conveniently to shift there. Further, the defendant has not paid rent for 2 years at the rate of Rs. 500/- total Rs. 13,500/-. (Para 8,9)

27-09-12	Notice served upon the defendant
WS	The defendant pleads that the plaintiff has about 30-35 years back put the defendant in the possession of the land and house for cultivating the land, the suit property, with the intention of giving title. The defendant pleads that he has acquired title by way of adverse possession. No relationship of landlord tenant exist. The suit should have been filed under the M.P. Accommodation and Control Act. (Para 10 AC)

SUBMISSIONS TO THE QUESTION OF LAW

1. WHETHER THE FIRST APPELLATE COURT HAS COMMITTED AN ERROR OF LAW IN DECREERING THE SUIT FOR EVICTION WHICH WAS BASED UPON LANDLORD TENANT RELATIONSHIP, THOUGHT THE SAID RELATIONSHIP HAS NOT BEEN PROVED ?

Submissions

- 1.1 It is submitted that the appellate court in para no.10 of the J&D has reproduced pleadings of the defendants made in WS, para 2 of the WS, wherein he has specifically admitted that the plaintiff brought defendant Kaluram to his ownership and possession land for cultivating his land. In para 4 WS the defendant has admitted that the defendant due to his old age has stopped working since last 2 years. In para 10 of the WS defendants states he has received notice and orally refused to
- 1.2 Therefore, by pleadings itself it is proved that the defendant was brought upon the land of ownership of the plaintiff for cultivating his land and after termination of job of the defendant due to his old age the plaintiff has issued notice to the defendant to vacate the suit premises which the defendant refused to do and now his legal heirs are in illegal occupation of the suit premises. The plaintiff on ground under section 12(1)(j) of the MP Accommodation and Control Act is liable to be evicted. Therefore, this question should be answered in favor of the plaintiff/respondent that landlord tenant relationship exists.

12(1) (j) MP Accommodation & Control Act, 1961

that the accommodation was let to the tenant for use as a residence by reason of his being in the service or employment of the landlord, and that the tenant has ceased, whether before or after the commencement of this Act, to be in such service or employment.

2. WHETHER THE SUIT FOR EVICTION UNDER MP ACCOMMODATION CONTRAL ACT COULD HAVE BEEN DECREED ON THE BASIS OF FINDING RELATING TO LICENSEE ?

Submissions

2.1 It is submitted that the appellate court in para no.15 has rightly observed while relying upon 1962 JLJ 26 Jivaji Rao v. Amin, that it has been proved the plaintiff let the suit premises to the defendant in lieu of the service after the termination of the service the defendant should have vacated the suit premises. It submitted that the defendant is considered to be 'licensee' he should have been evicted without proving any ground under the Accommodation Act. If he is considered to be leaseholder or tenant then on ground under 12(1)(j) of the Accommodation Act he is liable to be evicted. In fact in *Jivaji Rao* judgment the Hon'ble Court has come to the same finding and held defendant therein is liable to be evicted on both conditions of licensee and lease holder.

3. WHETHER THE FIRST APPELLATE COURT HAS COMMITTED AN ERROR OF LAW IN REVERSING THE JUDGMENT OF TRIAL COURT AND DECREERING THE SUIT WITHOUT CONSIDERING AND DEALING WITH REASONS ASSIGNED BY THE TRIAL COURT WHILE DISMISSING THE SUIT?

Submissions

It is submitted that the appellate court in para no.13 has rightly observed and held proved that the suit premises was let by the plaintiff to the defendant to cultivate the land and in para 14 & 15 the appellate court has rightly observed and held proved that under section 12(1)(k) of the Act after the termination of service of the tenant the suit premises is liable to evicted. The Appellate Court in para 15 has revered the finding of the Trial Court on proper reasons assigned therein. Therefore, this question should be answered in favor of the plaintiff/respondent that landlord tenant relationship exists.

Citations Relied Upon and Submitted:-

1. 1962 JLJ 26- Jivaji Rao v. Amin;
- 2.

Indore, Dated



Submitted By

Counsel for the Respondent
M.A. BOHRA ADV

INDIAN INCOME TAX RETURN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

[Where the data of the Return of Income in Form ITR-1 (SAHAJ), ITR-2, ITR-3, ITR-4(SUGAM), ITR-5, ITR-6, ITR-7
filed and verified]

(Please see Rule 12 of the Income-tax Rules, 1962)

Assessment Year

2021-22

PAN :	AHPPB2207C		
Name	MOEED ABBAS ALI BOHRA		
Address	101 , LUXERY HOUSE , 10 , SOUTH TUKOGANJ , INDORE , 18-Madhya Pradesh , 91-India , 452001		
Status	Individual	Form Number	ITR-3
Filed u/s	139(1) Return filed on or before due date	e-Filing Acknowledgement Number	700362790191021

Current Year business loss, if any	1	₹ 0
Total Income		₹ 4,46,650
Book Profit under MAT, where applicable	2	₹ 0
Adjusted Total Income under AMT, where applicable	3	₹ 4,46,650
Net tax payable	4	₹ 0
Interest and Fee Payable	5	₹ 0
Total tax, interest and Fee payable	6	₹ 0
Taxes Paid	7	₹ 20,042
(+)Tax Payable /(-)Refundable (6-7)	8	(-) ₹ 20,040
Dividend Tax Payable	9	₹ 0
Interest Payable	10	₹ 0
Total Dividend tax and interest payable	11	₹ 0
Taxes Paid	12	₹ 0
(+)Tax Payable /(-)Refundable (11-12)	13	₹ 0
Accreted Income as per section 115TD	14	₹ 0
Additional Tax payable u/s 115TD	15	₹ 0
Interest payable u/s 115TE	16	₹ 0
Additional Tax and interest payable	17	₹ 0
Tax and interest paid	18	₹ 0
(+)Tax Payable /(-)Refundable (17-18)	19	₹ 0

Income Tax Return submitted electronically on 19-10-2021 17:55:27 from IP address 10.1.36.197 and verified by MOEED ABBAS ALI BOHRA having PAN AHPPB2207C on 19-10-2021 17:55:25 using paper ITR-Verification Form /Electronic Verification Code CNPX2EEKNI generated through Digital mode

System Generated

Barcode/QR Code



AHPPB2207C03700362790191021735DF01A57FFE6A0790B4468420BFBC92FA9407D

DO NOT SEND THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO CPC, BENGALURU

Tax

INDIAN INCOME TAX RETURN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

[Where the data of the Return of Income in Form ITR-1 (SAHAJ), ITR-2, ITR-3, ITR-4(SUGAM), ITR-5, ITR-6, ITR-7 filed and verified]
(Please see Rule 12 of the Income-tax Rules, 1962)

Assessment Year
2022-23

PAN	AHPPB2207C		
Name	MOEED ABBAS ALI BOHRA		
Address	101 , LUXERY HOUSE , 10 , SOUTH TUKOGANJ , INDORE , 18-Madhya Pradesh , 91-India , 452001		
Status	Individual	Form Number	ITR-3
Filed u/s	139(1) Return filed on or before due date	e-Filing Acknowledgement Number	198571480280722

Taxable Income and Tax details	Current Year business loss, if any	1	0
	Total Income		4,70,460
	Book Profit under MAT, where applicable	2	0
	Adjusted Total Income under AMT, where applicable	3	4,70,460
	Net tax payable	4	0
	Interest and Fee Payable	5	0
	Total tax, interest and Fee payable	6	0
Accreted Income & Tax Detail	Taxes Paid	7	38,470
	(+)Tax Payable /(-)Refundable (6-7)	8	(-) 38,470
	Accreted Income as per section 115TD	9	0
	Additional Tax payable u/s 115TD	10	0
	Interest payable u/s 115TE	11	0
	Additional Tax and interest payable	12	0
	Tax and interest paid	13	0
	(+)Tax Payable /(-)Refundable (12-13)	14	0

Income Tax Return submitted electronically on 28-07-2022 17:55:30 from IP address 182.68.175.175 and verified by MOEED ABBAS ALI BOHRA having PAN AHPPB2207C on 28-07-2022 17:55:26 using XUZTVTFGX I generated through Aadhaar OTP mode

System Generated


Barcode/QR Code




AHPPB2207C031985714802807220C3662F0B6B761E2BC61213C6503EEFF5E99A471

DO NOT SEND THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO CPC, BENGALURU

T. S. S. S.

INDIAN INCOME TAX RETURN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT			Assessment Year
[Where the data of the Return of Income in Form ITR-1(SAHAJ), ITR-2, ITR-3, ITR-4(SUGAM), ITR-5, ITR-6, ITR-7 filed and verified] (Please see Rule 12 of the Income-tax Rules, 1962)			2023-24
PAN	AHPPB2207C		
Name	MOEED ABBAS ALI BOHRA		
Address	101,Luxery House, 10 , South Tukoganj , Indore , 18-Madhya Pradesh, 91-INDIA, 452001		
Status	Individual	Form Number	ITR-3
Filed u/s	139(1)- On or Before due date	e-Filing Acknowledgement Number	123223080310723
Taxable Income and Tax Details	Current Year business loss, if any	1	0
	Total Income	2	5,19,670
	Book Profit under MAT, where applicable	3	0
	Adjusted Total Income under AMT, where applicable	4	5,19,670
	Net tax payable	5	14,364
	Interest and Fee Payable	6	0
	Total tax, interest and Fee payable	7	14,364
	Taxes Paid	8	88,334
(+) Tax Payable /(-) Refundable (7-8)	9	(-) 73,970	
Accreted Income and Tax Detail	Accreted Income as per section 115TD	10	0
	Additional Tax payable u/s 115TD	11	0
	Interest payable u/s 115TE	12	0
	Additional Tax and interest payable	13	0
	Tax and interest paid	14	0
	(+) Tax Payable /(-) Refundable (13-14)	15	(+) 0
Income Tax Return submitted electronically on <u>31-Jul-2023 17:27:49</u> from IP address <u>106.214.4.125</u> and verified by <u>MOEED ABBAS ALI BOHRA</u> having PAN <u>AHPPB2207C</u> on <u>31-Jul-2023</u> using paper ITR-Verification Form /Electronic Verification Code <u>7UL8R3PD8Y</u> generated through <u>Bank Account Prevalidation</u> mode			
System Generated			
Barcode/QR Code			
AHPPB2207C03123223080310723b839dc5cc0331d4d3d57913a4886c316cb6b1abd			
DO NOT SEND THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO CPC, BENGALURU			

Tune City


CERTIFICATE

(u/S.Rule 8 High Court of M.P. (Designation of Senior
Advocates) Rules 2018

To whomsoever it may concern,

I have not applied for designation as Senior Advocate before any other High Court and my application has not been rejected by any High Court concerned within a period of 2 years prior to the present date of application.

Dated 26-10-23



M.A. Bohra Advocate

MP 68/1982